Indicator/Action **Economics Survey:**

Last **Actual:**

0.00% to 0.25%

Fed Funds Rate

(after the FOMC meeting on March 19-20) Range: 0.00 to 0.25 percent

Median: 0.125 percent (mid-point of target range)

Regions' View:

As the curtain comes up on Act II of the fiscal follies, i.e., the sequestration spending cuts, life goes on. We admit to having had some reservations on this front after countless renditions of tales of sequestration induced horror as each side tried to outdo the other. Still, it could be that no one aside from us is paying much attention. Consumers are learning to cope with higher taxes and higher gas prices, yet consumer confidence rose during February and auto sales rolled on. Firms are also moving on, with February's ISM manufacturing index rising sharply on a jump in new orders. The February employment report (see below) will be a good measure of how firms are feeling in the (not so) brave new (but getting really, really old) world of fiscal policy uncertainty.

Wednesday, 3/6 Dec = +1.8%**January Factory Orders**

Range: -2.5 to -1.0 percent Median: -2.2 percent

Thursday, 3/7 Dec = -\$38.5 bil

Friday, 3/8 Jan = +157,000

January Trade Balance Range: -\$44.5 to -\$40.1 bil Median: -\$43.0 bil

Q4 Nonfarm Productivity - Revised Thursday, 3/7 Q4 prelim = -2.0%

Range: -2.0 to -1.3 percent Median: -1.6 percent SAAR

Q4 Unit Labor Costs – Revised Thursday, 3/7 Q4 prelim = +4.5%

Range: 3.7 to 4.7 percent Median: 4.0 percent SAAR

February Nonfarm Employment

Range: 140,000 to 200,000 jobs

Median: 152,000 jobs

Down by 1.9 percent. A price-induced rise in orders for nondurable goods will offset some, but not all, of the decline in orders for durable goods caused by a steep decline in transportation orders. Orders for core capital goods rose thanks to a jump in machinery orders but shipments of core capital goods declined, indicating a wobbly start to 2013 for business fixed investment.

Widening to -\$42.3 billion.

Up by 8,000 jobs.

felt in aggregate earnings.

workweek hold at 34.4 hours.

Down at an annualized rate of 1.6 percent. Revisions to the Q4 GDP data show a larger increase in nonfarm business output than initially reported which will soften the reported decline in worker productivity.

Up at an annualized rate of 4.2 percent. The revision to productivity should mean a less steep increase in unit labor costs. It is also worth noting that despite the sizeable increase during Q4, the longer term trend shows unit labor costs rising at a rate of less than two percent, reflecting the degree of labor market slack.

Up by 182,000 jobs, with private sector payrolls up by 190,000 and government payrolls declining by 8,000 jobs. Two wild cards in the February data will be 1) the impact of the severe winter storm that hit the Northeast during the survey week; and 2) the impact of the looming sequestration spending cuts, which could have impacted job counts in defense related industries. On the former, we think it far more likely the impact of the storm will turn up in reduced hours worked, rather than job counts, as one needs only to be paid at any point in the pay period that includes the survey week to be counted as employed in the payroll data. Another factor to watch is the revisions to previously released estimates for January – the pattern of late has been for meaningful upward revisions to the initial estimate, and we will be watching to see if that pattern holds.

Down to 34.3 hours. As noted above, we think this is where we will see the

biggest impact of the winter storm. For anyone inclined to think a one-tenth of an

hour change in the average workweek doesn't amount to much, consider that each such change in average hours worked is the equivalent to roughly 300,000 private sector jobs. As such, if the workweek does indeed contract it will very much be

Up by 0.2 percent. Together with our expectations for employment and hours,

this yields a meager 0.1 percent gain in aggregate private sector earnings, which

translates into an over-the-year gain of just 3.1 percent. To illustrate the impact of the average workweek, aggregate earnings would rise by 0.4 percent should the

February Manufacturing Employment

Range: 0 to 20,000 jobs

Median: 8,000 jobs

Range: 34.3 to 34.4 hours Median: 34.4 hours

Friday, 3/8 Jan = 34.4 hrs

Friday, 3/8 Jan = +4,000

February Average Weekly Hours

Range: 0.1 to 0.3 percent Median: 0.2 percent

Range: 7.8 to 7.9 percent

Median: 7.8 percent

February Average Hourly Earnings Friday, 3/8 Jan = +0.2%

February Unemployment Rate Friday, 3/8 Jan = 7.9%

Down to 7.8 percent as we look for the gain in household employment to be more in line with that seen in payroll employment. Other metrics, such as the duration of unemployment, the number of long-term unemployed, and the number of those working part-time for economic reasons will be more telling indicators of the extent to which the labor market is actually healing.

This Economic Preview may include opinions, forecasts, projections, estimates, assumptions and speculations (the "Contents") based on currently available information which is believed to be reliable and on past, current and projected economic, political and other conditions. There is no guarantee as to the accuracy or completeness of the Contents of this Economic Preview. The Contents of this Economic Preview reflect judgments made at this time and are subject to change without notice, and the information and opinions herein are for general information use only. Regions specifically disclaims all warranties, express or implied, with respect to the use of or reliance on the Contents of this Economic Preview or with respect to any results arising therefrom. The Contents of this Economic Preview shall in no way be construed as a recommendation or advice with respect to the taking of any action or the making of any economic, financial or other plan or decision.